





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,001	08/24/2001	Lee E. Cannon	VDLT.85080	3571
75	90 03/13/2003			
Marshall Gerstein & Borun			EXAMINER	
6300 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive		WHITE, CARMEN D		
Chicago, IL 60	J6U6-64U2		WHITE, CARMEN D	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{N}
	09/939,001	CANNON, LEE E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carmen D. White	0744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howevon. a reply within the statutory minin period will apply and will expire SI	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	mmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	l		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠	This action is non-fina	al.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up Disposition of Claims	llowance except for for	nal mattara massaulta a di di	merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from considerat	ion.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	nd/or election requireme	ent.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 August 2001 is/a		I chiected to by the Everniner	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in	abevance See 37 CED 1 95/0)	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved	b) disapproved by the Evaminer	
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office action).	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(4) (1)	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receive	d.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a	oriority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this National Sta	age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			anlin aki a
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application t	as been received	plication).
Attachment(s)		VV - =	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5\ Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 er:	<u> </u>
CO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Page	er No. 12

Application/Control Number: 09/939,001

Art Unit: 3714

Drawings

Applicant's drawings are acceptable for examination purposes.

C-I-P

Applicant has not met the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 120, which requires that the application be filed by an inventor or inventors named in the previously filed application. The instant invention does not contain a common inventor(s). Correction is required.

35 U.S.C. 120 Benefit of earlier filing date in the United States.

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, which is filed by an inventor or inventors named in the previously filed application shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application. No application shall be entitled to the benefit of an earlier filed application under this section unless an amendment containing the specific reference to the earlier filed application is submitted at such time during the pendency of the application as required by the Director. The Director may consider the failure to submit such an amendment within that time period as a waiver of any benefit under this section. The Director may establish procedures, including the payment of a surcharge, to accept an unintentionally delayed submission of an amendment under this section.

(Amended Nov. 14, 1975, Public Law 94-131, sec. 9, 89 Stat. 691; Nov. 8, 1984, Public Law 98-622, sec. 104(b), 98 Stat. 3385; Nov. 29, 1999, Public Law 106-113, sec. 1000(a)(9), 113 Stat. 1501A-563 (S. 1948 sec. 4503(b)(1)).)

Application/Control Number: 09/939,001

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss (6,077,162)

Regarding claims 1-6, 9-16 and 19-20, Weiss teaches a game for participation that includes the instant claimed features of a first and second wagering games that have terminating events and payoffs {as taught by the instant claimed invention}. Weiss is silent on the explicit language of the games being mutually exclusive (abstract; Fig. 2-step for increment jackpot; col. 1, lines 5-10, lines 64-67 through col. 2, lines 1-8). However, it is well known in the art of casino gaming machines to have each game (poker, keno, etc.) outcome be different and independent for each spin of the reels. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this explicit feature in Weiss in order to make the game more exciting an unpredictable. The processor of Weiss performs essentially the same function.

Regarding claims 7-8 and 17-18, Weiss teaches the elements of the claims as discussed above. Weiss lacks teaching the second game being non-repeatable and a wheel game. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known to have wheel games as secondary games {bonus games} in the gaming art. These games provide

Application/Control Number: 09/939,001

Art Unit: 3714

added excitement and anticipation to the players. For these reasons, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this feature in Weiss. Further, it would enhance Weiss to make the secondary game non-repeatable so that players will play longer to be able to access the secondary game. This would increase revenue to the gaming establishment.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,416,408. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to a multiplier game with substantially the essential features.

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7768 for Non-official and 703-305-3579 for Official communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

C. White Patent Examiner

